



# SHOCK AND VIBRATION TESTING OF LCD MONITORS

ATS JOB # D157097 – Rev1

PURCHASE ORDER # 59955

*Prepared for*

JEFF WADDLE  
HOPE INDUSTRIAL SYSTEMS, INC.  
1325 NORTHMEADOW PARKWAY, SUITE 100  
ROSWELL, GA 30076

*Prepared by* \_\_\_\_\_  
*David Common, Materials Testing*

*Approved by* \_\_\_\_\_  
*Eric Sproat, Materials Testing*

**This report may not be reproduced except in full without the written approval of ATS.**

This report represents interpretation of the results obtained from the test specimen and is not to be construed as a guarantee or warranty of the condition of the entire material lot. If the method used is a customer provided, non-standard test method, ATS does not assume responsibility for validation of the method.



## MATERIALS TEST REPORT

**Ref.** D157097 – Rev1\*

**Date:** October 5, 2010

**Page 1 of 8**

### Subject

Shock and Vibration testing of LCD monitors

### Materials

Three (3) monitors: 15", 20" and 22"

### Objective and Background

Applied Technical Services, Inc. was requested by Hope Industrial Systems, Inc. to perform a shock and vibration test on the supplied monitors using document "HIS Monitor Testing, version 0.92, July 2008" as a guide.

The testing was conducted according the following sections of the document:

- Test 6: Mechanical Shock (operating)
- Test 7: Free-Fall (non-operating)
- Test 10: Random Vibration (non-operating)
- Test 11: Sinusoidal Vibration (operating)

### Procedure

#### Test 6: Mechanical Shock (operating)

Figure 1 shows the setup used for this test.

A video signal was applied to the monitors during the exposure.

100 shocks per axis per direction were applied. The first set of shocks had an amplitude of 15G, a pulse duration of 6ms and ½-sine time waveform. The second set of shocks had an amplitude of 30G (6ms, ½-sine waveform). Figure 2 shows a representative 15G shock while Figure 3 shows a representative 30G shock.

#### Test 7: Free-Fall (non-operating)

The displays were tested in a packaged configuration.

Each package was dropped onto a concrete floor from a height of 80cm on various faces, edges and corners.

#### Test 10: Random Vibration (non-operating)

The displays were tested in a packaged configuration.

The packages were placed into a fixture to simulate a shipping environment (see Figure 4).

A random vibration was applied for a duration of 30 minutes per axis over three mutually perpendicular axes. Figure 5 shows the random vibration profile used (PSD vs. frequency).

\* Added a figure for a representative 30G shock and included the 30G shock test to the scope of testing  
Original report dated 09/21/2010



## MATERIALS TEST REPORT

**Ref.** D157097 – Rev1\*      **Date:** October 5, 2010      **Page** 2      **of** 8

### Test 11: Sinusoidal Vibration (operating)

The setup was identical to the setup used for Test 6 (mechanical shock).  
A sinusoidal sweep (shown in Figure 6) was applied to the displays along three mutually perpendicular axes.  
A total of 10 sweeps per axis were performed.

### Equipment used

Unholtz-Dickie shaker table: ATS-2259 (12/01/2010), Model R16C  
Accelerometer: PCB 320C34, S/N: 8498 (12/15/2010)

### Conclusion

The displays provided by Hope Industrial Systems, Inc. were subjected to the shock and vibration tests described in "HIS Monitor Testing, version 0.92, July 2008" for Tests 6, 7, 10 and 11.

The samples were returned to HIS for a functional evaluation.

\* Added a figure for a representative 30G shock and included the 30G shock test to the scope of testing  
Original report dated 09/21/2010




## MATERIALS TEST REPORT

Ref. D157097 – Rev1\*

Date: October 5, 2010

Page 3 of 8



**Figure 1:** View of the test setup for Test 6 (Shocks) and Test 11 (Sinusoidal Vibration)

\* Added a figure for a representative 30G shock and included the 30G shock test to the scope of testing  
Original report dated 09/21/2010



1049 Triad Court, Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400 Fax (770) 424-6415

# MATERIALS TEST REPORT

Ref. D157097 – Rev1\*

Date: October 5, 2010

Page 4 of 8




Figure 2: Time history of a representative shock pulse (15G, 6ms, ½-sine)

\* Added a figure for a representative 30G shock and included the 30G shock test to the scope of testing  
Original report dated 09/21/2010



# MATERIALS TEST REPORT

Ref. D157097 – Rev1\*

Date: October 5, 2010

Page 5 of 8




Figure 3: Time history of a representative shock pulse (30G, 6ms, ½-sine)

\* Added a figure for a representative 30G shock and included the 30G shock test to the scope of testing  
Original report dated 09/21/2010



## MATERIALS TEST REPORT

Ref. D157097 – Rev1\*

Date: October 5, 2010

Page 6 of 8



**Figure 4:** View of the test setup for Test 10 (random vibration)

\* Added a figure for a representative 30G shock and included the 30G shock test to the scope of testing  
Original report dated 09/21/2010



## MATERIALS TEST REPORT


**Ref.** D157097 – Rev1\*

**Date:** October 5, 2010

**Page** 7

**of**

**8**



**Figure 5:** Random vibration profile used for Test 10

\* Added a figure for a representative 30G shock and included the 30G shock test to the scope of testing  
Original report dated 09/21/2010





# MATERIALS TEST REPORT

Ref. D157097 – Rev1\*

Date: October 5, 2010

Page 8 of 8




Figure 6: Sinusoidal sweep profile used for Test 11

\* Added a figure for a representative 30G shock and included the 30G shock test to the scope of testing  
Original report dated 09/21/2010